arXiv:1406.1130v1 [math.LO] 4 Jun 2014

FREE AMALGAMATION AND AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

ANDREAS BAUDISCH

Abstract. Let L be a countable elementary language, M_0 be a Fraïssé limit. We consider free amalgamation for L-structures where L is arbitrary. If free amalgamation for finitely generated substructures exits in M_0, then it is a stationary independece relation in the sense of K.Tent and M.Ziegler [TZ12b]. Therefore $Aut(M_0)$ is universal for $Aut(M)$ for all substructures M of M_0. This follows by a result of I.Müller [Mue13] We show that c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over a finite field and c-nilpotent groups of exponent p ($c < p$) with extra predicates for a central Lazard series provide examples. We replace the proof in [Bau04] of the amalgamation of c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over a field by a correct one.

1. Introduction

Let L be a countable elementary language. Let M_0 be a Fraïssé limit in L. Eric Jaligot ([Jal07] asked whether the group $Aut(M_0)$ of automorphisms of M_0 is universal for all groups $Aut(M)$, where M is a substructure of M_0. He proved this for random tournaments. The first example is the Urysohn space [Usp90]. Also for Fraïssé limits in relational languages it is true [Bil12], if there is free amalgamation for the age.

We introduce the free amalgam $A \otimes_B C$ for a class $\mathcal{J}$ of L-structures, where L is arbitrary (Chapter 2). In this general stuation we use other considerations than in [Bil12]

If we have free amalgamation in the age of a Fraïssé limit M_0, we can define $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ for finite subsets of M_0 by

$$\langle ABC\rangle = \langle AB\rangle \otimes_{\langle B\rangle} \langle BC\rangle.$$

$\langle X\rangle$ denotes the substructure generated by X. We show that this is a stationary independence relation in M_0 in the sense of K.Tent and M.Ziegler [TZ12b]. We realize, that **Mon** is a consequence of the remaining properties in general. If furthermore the age of M_0 is uniformly locally finite, then we have free amalgamation for the substructures of the monster model $\mathfrak{C}$ of $Th(M_0)$ and it gives a stationary independence relation for the substructures of $\mathfrak{C}$. That means we have all properties of non-forking in a stable theory except local character and boudedness is replaced by the stronger property stationarity. But the examples we discuss below have the tree property of the second kind.

We use a new idea, developed by Isabel Müller in [Mue13]. Let M_0 be a Fraïssé limit as above. She proved, that the existence of a stationary independence relation

Date: 04.06.2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03C45.

Key words and phrases. Model Theory, free amalgamation, automorphism groups, Lie algebras, groups .

for finite subsets of M_0 in the sense of K.Tent und M.Ziegler [TZ12b] implies the universality of $Aut(M_0)$ for all $Aut(M)$ where M is a substructure of M_0. The stationary independence relation is used to reconstruct the Fraïssé limit M_0 from a given substructure M using so-called Katetov extensions. In general the embedding of M in M_0 will change. We apply S.Müller's result to Fraïssé limits M_0 with free amalgamation and obtain the universality of $Aut(M_0)$ for all groups $Aut(M)$, where $M \subseteq M_0$ (chapter 3).

In chapter 4 we reprove the existence of the free amalgam for the class of c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over a field K in a language with extra predicates for the graduation. Unfortunately the proof of this result in [Bau04] is incorrect. The existence of the free amalgam for all graded Lie algebras over a given field follows. We get a Fraïssé limit M_0 of the finitely generated c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over a finite field K. Then the free amalgam gives a stationary independence relation in M_0. $Aut(M_0)$ is universal for all $\{Aut(M) : M \subseteq M_0\}$. For c-nilpotent graded assoziative algebras even amalgams do not exists in general, as a counterexample in chapter 5 shows.

In the last chapter we consider c-nilpotent groups of exponent $p > c$ with extra predicates for a central Laszard series. As shown in [Bau04] the results for graded Lie algebras imply the existence of the free amalgam for all these groups. The Fraïssé limit G_0^U exists for these groups and the free amalgam gives a stationary independence relation. Hence $Aut(G_0^U)$ is universal for $\{Aut(G^U) : G^U \subseteq G_0^U\}$. Let G_0 be the reduct of G_0^U to the language of group theory. Using the lower central series we can transform each c-nilpotent group of exponent $p > c$ to a structure of the extended language. Hence G_0 is universal for all these groups. Since the upper and lower central series in G_0 coincide, the extra predicates are 0-definable in G_0. Therefore $Aut(G_0)$ is universal for all $Aut(G)$ where G is a at most countable c-nilpotent group of exponent $p > c$. Note that the elementary theories of M_0 (Lie algebras), G_0^U, and G_0 have the tree property of the second kind (see [Bau13]).

I would like to thank Martin Ziegler for helpful discussions of the results, especially for a shorter proof of Lemma 3.2.

2. Free Amalgamation

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of finitely generated L-structures. $\mathcal{K}$ is the age (or skeleton) of a L-structure M, if $\mathcal{K}$ is the class of all L-structures that are isomorphic to a finitely generated substructure of M. In this paper L and $\mathcal{K}$ are always countable.

Definition 2.1. M is $\mathcal{K}$-saturated, if $\mathcal{K}$ is the age of M and if for all B, A in $\mathcal{K}$ and all embeddings $f_0 : B \to M$, $f_1 : B \to A$ there is an embedding $g : A \to M$ such that $f_0 = g \circ f_1$.

Then the following is well-known:

Fact 2.2. Countable $\mathcal{K}$-saturated structures are isomorphic. Let M_0 be a $\mathcal{K}$-saturated structure. It is ultrahonogeneous. That means an isomorphism between finitely generated substructures of M_0 can be extened to an automorphism. Conversely countable ultrahomogeneous structures M_0 are $\mathcal{K}$ - saturated, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the age of M_0. M_0 is $\mathcal{K}$-universal: Every countable L-structure with an age included in $\mathcal{K}$ can be embedded.

This fact implies that the quantifier free n-type of an n-tuple implies the full n-type in M_0. But this is not quantifier elimination for $Th(M_0)$.

Fact 2.3. There is a countable $\mathcal{K}$-saturated L-structure M_0 if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ has the following properties:

HP: *Heredity Property* For A in $\mathcal{K}$ we have $age(A) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.
JEP: *Joint Embedding Property* For A and C in $\mathcal{K}$ there are some $D \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $f_0 : A \to D$ and $f_1 : C \to D$.
AP: *Amalgamation Property* Assume $g_0 : B \to A$ and $g_1 : B \to C$ are embeddings for $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}$. Then there are some D in $\mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $f_0 : A \to D$ and $f_1 : C \to D$ such that $f_0 \circ g_0 = f_1 \circ g_1$ for B.

M_0 in 2.3 is called the Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{K}$. By 2.2 it is unique up to isomorphisms.

Definition 2.4. APS We have the strong amalgamation property for $\mathcal{K}$ if in **AP** $f_0(A) \cap f_1(C) = f_0 \circ g_0(B) = f_1 \circ g_1(B)$ holds.

Fact 2.5. Assume L is finite, $\mathcal{K}$ is uniformly locally finite, and a $\mathcal{K}$-saturated L-structure M_0 exists. Then $Th(M_0)$ is $\aleph_0$-categorical and allows the elimination of quantifiers.

For the next considerations we assume again, that L is countable and $\mathcal{J}$ is a class of L-structures .

Definition 2.6. Let $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{J}$ and assume that B is a common substructure of A and C. If D is generated by A and C with $A \cap C = B$, then D is the free amalgam of A and C over B (short $D = A \otimes_B C$) in $\mathcal{J}$, if for all homomorphisms $f : A \to E$ and $g : C \to E$ into some $E \in \mathcal{J}$ with $f(b) = g(b)$ for $b \in B$ there is a homomorphism $h : D \to E$ that extends f and g.

$\mathcal{J}$ is closed under free amalgamtion, if for $A, B, C \in \mathcal{J}$ and embeddings $g_0 : B \to A$ and $g_1 : B \to C$, there exists a free amalgam $A' \otimes_{B'} C'$ in $\mathcal{J}$ and isomorphisms $f_0 : A \to A'$ and $f_1 : C \to C'$, such that $f_0 \circ g_0(b) = f_1 \circ g_1(b)$ for $b \in B$ maps B onto B' .

The free amalgam is a strong amalgam by definition. Note that $A \otimes_B C$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphisms, if it exists. If L is relational and $\mathcal{J}$ is the class of all L-structures, then the free amalgam exists. Its domain is the union of A and C with intersection B and the only relations are the old relations from A and C. In this paper we will consider free amalgams in the class graded Lie algebras over fields and in the class of c-nilpotent groups of exponent p ($c < p$) with extra predicates for a central Lazard series.

We add new constant symbols e_a for $a \in A \setminus B$ e_b for $b \in B$ and e_c for $c \in C \setminus B$ to the language L and assume that we have the same symbols for the elements of B as a substructure of A and of C respectively. Using these constant symbols we define the diagrams $Dia(A)$ and $Dia(C)$ - the sets of all atomic sentences and negated atomic sentences in this enriched language that are true in A respectively in C, if we interprete the new constant symbols by the elements they represent.

Definition 2.7. Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$ be the union of $Dia(A)$ and $Dia(C)$ with all negated atomic sentences $e_a \neq e_c$ for $a \in (A \setminus B)$ and $c \in (C \setminus B)$ and all negated atomis sentences $\neg\phi(\bar{e}_{\bar{a}}, \bar{e}_{\bar{b}}, \bar{e}_{\bar{c}})$, where $\bar{a} \subseteq A$ $\bar{b} \subseteq B$, and $\bar{c} \subseteq C$ and there are homomorphisms f and g of A and C repectively into some $E \in \mathcal{J}$ with $f(b) = g(b)$ for $b \in B$, such that $E \models \neg\phi(\bar{f}(\bar{a}, \bar{f}(\bar{b}), \bar{g}(\bar{c}))$.

Lemma 2.8. *(1) Assume $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under substructures. For $A, B, C \in \mathcal{J}$ the free amalgam $A \otimes_B C$ exists in $\mathcal{J}$ if and only if $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$ has a model in $\mathcal{J}$.*

(2) Let $\mathcal{J}$ be an $\forall$-elementary class such that substructures of finitely generated structures in $\mathcal{J}$ are again finitely generated. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under free amalgamation if and only if the finitely generated structures in $\mathcal{J}$ are closed under free amalgamation.

(3) Let L be finite and $\mathcal{K}$ be countable class of finitely generated L-structures that are uniformly locally finite. Assume a $\mathcal{K}$-saturated model M_0 exists. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the class of the substructures of the models of $Th(M_0)$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is closed under free amalgamation, then $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under free amalgamation.

Proof. (1) $A \otimes_B C$ models $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$. If M is a model of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$ in $\mathcal{J}$, then let D be the substructure of M generated by the interpretations of the constant symbols e_a, e_b, e_c. D is in $\mathcal{J}$ by assumption. D is a strong amalgam of A and C over B. D is free, since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$ contains the set of conditions we need to extend every given pair of homomorphisms.

(2) To show the non-trivial direction it is sufficient to prove that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$ is consistent with $Th(\mathcal{J})$, since $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under substructures. Because $\mathcal{J}$ is elementary we can use compactness. Let Σ_0 be a finte subset of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$. Let A^0 be the substructure of A generated by all elements of A, that occure in a formula of Σ_0 and C_0 the substructure of C generated by all elements of C, that occure in a formula of Σ_0. Let B^1 be $\langle (B \cap A^0), (B \cap C^0) \rangle$. $B^1 \subseteq B$. By assumption B^1 is finitely generated. Then $A^1 = \langle A^0, B^1 \rangle$ and $C^1 = \langle C^0, B^1 \rangle$ are finitely generated. B^1 is a common substructure of A^1 and C^1. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is $\forall$- elementary A^1, B^1, and C^1 are in $\mathcal{J}$. By assumption $A^1 \otimes_{B^1} C^1 = D^1$ exists in $\mathcal{J}$. We claim that D^1 is a model of Σ_0. The formulas from $Dia(A)$ and $Dia(C)$ in Σ_0 are fulfilled in D^1. Assume we have $\neg\phi(\bar{e}_{\bar{a}}, \bar{e}_{\bar{b}}, \bar{e}_{\bar{c}})$ in Σ_0, where $\bar{a} \subseteq A \setminus B$, $\bar{b} \subseteq B$, and $\bar{c} \subseteq C \setminus B$ and furthermore homomorphisms f and g of A and C repectively into some $E \in \mathcal{J}$ with $f(b) = g(b)$ for $b \in B$, such that $E \models \neg\phi(\bar{f}(\bar{a}, \bar{f}(\bar{b}), \bar{g}(\bar{c}))$. If we consider the restriction of f to A^1 and of g to C^1, then $f(b) = g(b)$ for $b \in B^1$. By the definition of the free amalgam

$$D^1 \models \neg\phi(\bar{e}_{\bar{a}}, \bar{e}_{\bar{b}}, \bar{e}_{\bar{c}}),$$

as desired. Formulas $e_a \neq e_c$ from Σ_0 are fulfilled in D^1.

(3) $\mathcal{J}$ is $\forall$ - elementary. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is uniformly locally finite and L is finite, $\mathcal{K}$ is the class of finite structures in $\mathcal{J}$. We apply (2).

□

3. Stationary independence and universal automorphism groups

Let L be countable. K.Tent and M.Ziegler defined a stationary independence relation for the investigation auf automorphism groups in [TZ12b]. We consider finite subsets A, B, C, D of a L-structure M.

Definition 3.1. A relation $A \bigcirc\!\!\!\!\!\!\downarrow_B C$ for finite subsets of M is called a stationary independence relation in M if it fulfils the following properties.

Inv: *Invariance* $A \bigcirc\!\!\!\!\!\!\downarrow_B C$ depends only on the type of A, B, C.

Mon: *Monotonicity* $A \bigcirc\!\!\!\!\!\!\downarrow_B CD$ implies $A \bigcirc\!\!\!\!\!\!\downarrow_B C$ and $A \bigcirc\!\!\!\!\!\!\downarrow_{BC} D$.

Trans: *Transitivity* $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ and $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_{BC} D$ imply $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B CD$.
Sym: *Symmetry* $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ if and only if $C \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B A$.
Ex: *Existence* For A, B, C there is some A' in M such that $\mathrm{tp}(A/B) = \mathrm{tp}(A'/B)$ and $A' \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$.
Stat: *Stationarity* If $\mathrm{tp}(A/B) = \mathrm{tp}((A'/B)$, $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$, and $A' \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$, then $\mathrm{tp}(A/BC) = \mathrm{tp}(A'/BC)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ be a relation on finite subsets of M, that satisfies all properties of a stationary independence relation except* **Mon**. *Then* **Mon** *follows.*

Proof. We asume $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B CD$. Applying **Ex** we get A', such that $A' \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ and $tp(A'/B) = tp(A/B)$. Again by **Ex** there is some A'' such that $tp(A''/BC) = tp(A'/BC)$ and $A'' \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_{BC} D$. By **Inv** $A'' \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$. By **Trans** $A'' \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B CD$. Since $tp(A''/B) = tp(A/B)$ **Stat** implies $tp(A''/BCD) = tp(A/BCD)$. The assertion follows from **Inv**. □

I. Müller combined the existence of a stationary independence relation with Katětov's construction [Mue13]. She proved:

Theorem 3.3. *If M_0 is a Fraïssé limit and there exists a stationary independence relation in M_0, then $Aut(M_0)$ is universal for all $Aut(N)$, where N is a substructure of M_0.*

We will see that free amalgams provide a stationary independence relation.

Theorem 3.4. *Let M_0 be a Fraïssé limit. We assume that the free amalgam of finitely generated substructures of M_0 exists in M_0 and define for finite subsets A, B, C of M_0:*

$$A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$$

if and only if

$$\langle ABC\rangle = \langle AB\rangle \otimes_{\langle B\rangle} \langle BC\rangle.$$

Then $\mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}$ is a stationary independence relation in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Proof. By definition $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ if and only if $\langle AB\rangle \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_{\langle B\rangle} \langle BC\rangle$. Hence we assume w.l.o.g. that A, B, C are finitely generated substructures.

Inv: It is clear since the free amalgam is uniquely determined by its isomorphism type.
Sym: It follows directly from the definition.
Ex: Since the class of finitely generated substuctures of M_0 is closed under free amalgamation and M_0 is age(M_0) - saturated we get **Ex**.
Stat: It is a consequence of the uniqueness of the free amalgam and ultrahomogeneity.
Trans: By assumption $\langle ABCD\rangle = (\langle AB\rangle \otimes_B \langle BC\rangle) \otimes_{\langle BC\rangle} \langle BCD\rangle$. We show that this structure is the free amalgam of $\langle AB\rangle$ and $\langle BCD\rangle$ over B. Let G be a structure in age(M_0). Let f_0 be a homomorphism of $\langle AB\rangle$ into G and f_1 be a homomorphism of $\langle BCD\rangle$ into G such that $f_0(b) = f_1(b)$ for $b \in B$. By $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ there is a homomorphism g of $\langle ABC\rangle$ into G, that extends f_0 and f_1 resticed to $\langle BC\rangle$. Since $g(e) = f_1(e)$ for $e \in \langle BC\rangle$, there is a homomorphism h of $\langle ABCD\rangle$ into G, that extends g, f_1, and therefore f_0. We get $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B CD$, as desired.

Mon: It follows by Lemma 3.2.

□

Using the Theorem of I. Müller we obtain:

Corollary 3.5. *Let M_0 be a Fraïssé limit. Assume that the free amalgam of finitely generated substructures of M_0 exists. Then $Aut(M_0)$ is universal for all substructures $N \subseteq M_0$.*

Definition 3.6. A relation $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ for small subsets of a monster model $\mathfrak{C}$ is a stationary independence relation in $\mathfrak{C}$, if it fulfils **Inv, Mon, Trans, Sym, Ex, Stat** and

Fin: *Finite Character* $A \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B C$ if and only if $\bar{a} \mathop{\smile\!\!\!\!\!\!|}_B \bar{c}$ for all finite tuple $\bar{a}$ in A and $\bar{c}$ in C.

A stationary independence relation in $\mathfrak{C}$ has all properties of non-forking in a stable theory except *Local Character*. Furthermore *Boundedness* is replaced by the stronger property **Stat**. In the next chapter there are examples with the tree property of the second kind.

Corollary 3.7. *Let L be finite and $\mathcal{K}$ be countable class of finitely generated L-structures that are uniformly locally finite. Assume a $\mathcal{K}$-saturated model M_0 exists and $\mathfrak{C}$ is a monster model of $Th(M_0)$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is closed under free amalgamation, then the free amalgam for small substructures of $\mathfrak{C}$ exists and defines a stationary independence relation in $\mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the class of all substructures of models of $Th(M_0)$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is the class of the finitely generated substructures of models of M_0. These structures are finite. By Lemma 2.8 the free amalgam of substructures of $\mathfrak{C}$ exists. All properties exept **Fin** are shown in the same way as in Theorem 3.4. **Mon** implies the assertion from the left to the right of **Fin**. By Lemma 2.8 (1) the other direction follows from the consistency of $Th(M_0) \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(A, B, C)$. We use compactness and the consistency of all $Th(M_0) \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}(\langle \bar{a}B \rangle, B, \langle \bar{c}B \rangle)$ for all finite $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{c}$, similary as in the proof of Lemma 2.8 (2). □

We will apply the results of this chapter to the Fraïssé limits of graded Lie algebras over finite fields and of c-nilpotent groups of exponent p ($c < p$) wit extra predicates for a central Laszard series.

4. Graded Lie algebras over fields

We consider graded Lie algebras A over a fixed field K in the language of vector spaces over K extended by a function symbol $[x, y]$ for the Lie multiplication and unary predicates U_i with $1 \leq i \leq \omega$, such that

$$A = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq \omega} A_i$$

as a vector space, where A_i is the interpretation of U_i and $[a, b] \in A_{i+j}$, if $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A_j$. We say that the elements of $A_i \setminus \{0\}$ have degree i. A graded Lie algebra A is c-nilpotent, if $A_i = \langle 0 \rangle$ for $c < i$. In this case we use U_i only for $i \leq c$.

Theorem 4.1. *The class of c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over a field K is closed under free amalgamation.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the class of c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over K. It is $\forall$-elementary and subalgebras of finitely generated algebras in $\mathcal{J}$ are again finitely generated. By Lemma 2.8 it is sufficient to give a construction of a free amalgam of A and C over B, where A, B, C are finitely generated c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over K ,and B is a common subalgebra.

We choose a vector space basis

$$X_B = \{b_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq c, j < \beta_i\}$$

of B with $U_i(b_{i,j})$. Then we extend X_B by

$$X_A = \{a_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq c, j < \alpha_i\}$$

and

$$X_C = \{c_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq c, j < \gamma_i\}$$

with $U_i(a_{i,j})$, $U_i(c_{i,j})$ and $X_A \cap X_C = \emptyset$, such that $X_A X_B$ is a vector space basis for A and $X_B X_C$ is a vector space basis of C. Let X be $X_A X_B X_C$. We use the graded set X as a set of free generators of the free graded Lie algebra $F(X)$. The elements of X are in U_i according to the definition above. Let J_A be the ideal in $F(X_B X_A)$ generated by all equations $[x, y] = z$ in A where $x, y \in X_B X_A$ and z is a linear combination of elements in $X_A X_B \cap U_{i+j}$, if $U_i(x)$ and $U_j(y)$. Then $F(X_A X_B)/J_A$ is isomorphic to A. Analogously we define J_C in $F(X_B X_C)$, such that $F(X_B X_C)/J_C$ is isomorphic to C. Let J be the ideal in $F(X)$ generated by J_A and J_C.

Claim 1: $J \cap F(X_A X_B) = J_A$ and $J \cap F(X_B X_C) = J_C$

Claim 2: $F(X)/J$ is the free amalgam of A and C over B.

First we show that Claim 1 implies Claim 2. By Claim 1 $F(X)/J$ contains isomorphic images A', B', C' of A, B, C respectively, such that $A' \cap C' = B'$ and $\langle A', C' \rangle = F(X)/J$. Let f_A and f_C be any pair of homomorphisms of A' and C' respectively into a c-nilpotent graded Lie algebra G over K, such that $f_A(b) = f_C(b)$ for $b \in B'$. If we map the elements of X onto their f_A- respectively f_C-images in G, then we get an homomorphism f of $F(X)$ into G. The kernel of f contains J by the definition of J_A and J_C. Hence f induces the desired homomorphism of $F(X)/J$ into G.

To prove Claim 1 it is sufficient to construct a strong amalgam directely step by step. We use again X_A, X_B, and X_C, where the α_i , β_i, and γ_i are finite. Now the underlying vector space of the amalgam D is a vectorspace where $X = X_A X_B X_C$ is part of a basis of this space. For $x \in X$ we have $U_i(x)$ if and only if $x = a_{i,j}$ or $x = b_{i,j}$ or $x = c_{i,j}$ for some j. The only problem is the definition of the Lie multiplication for the elements of a vector space basis of D. Since multiplication with elements from U_c gives 0, we can put all elemtens of $U_c(X)$ into X_B. Therefore we assume w.l.o.g. that

$$\alpha_c = \gamma_c = 0.$$

First we solve the following essential case:

Major Case: $X_A = \{a\}$ and $X_C = \{c\}$ with $U_i(a)$, $U_j(c)$, and $i, j < c$.

Let Y be a vector space basis of the free graded Lie algebra over K freely generated by a and c. We assume that Y is a set of Hall basic monomials. $X_B Y$ will be a vectorspace basis of the amalgam D. The degree of the elements of X is given in A and C. The degree of the other elements of Y is canonically given using the degrees

of a and c. Finally we define the Lie multiplication in such a way that D becomes a Lie algebra with the given graduation. We have only to consider $[y,b]=-[b,y]$ for $y\in Y$ and $b\in X_B$. The problem is to ensure the Jacobin identity for all triple $[[y_1,y_2],b]$ and $[[y,b],d]$ where $y_1,y_2,y\in Y$ and $b,d\in X_B$. Inside A, C, and $\langle Y\rangle$ the Lie multiplication is given. Note that $[a,b]\in B$ and $[c,b]\in B$ for all $b\in X_B$. This is the starting point of an inductiv definition on the degree of $y\in Y$ of $[y,b]$ for all $b\in X_B$. If $y=[y_1,y_2]$, then we define

$$[[y_1,y_2],b]=[[y_1,b],y_2]+[y_1,[[y_2,b]]$$

accordingly to the Jacobi-identity. By induction $[y_1,b]=b_1\in B$ and $[y_2,b]=b_2\in B$ are defined and also $[b_1,y_2]\in B$ and $[y_1,b_2]\in B$.

We have to check the Jacobi identity for the case $y\in Y$ and $b,d\in X_B$. Again we use induction on the comlexity of y. The begin with $y=a$ or $y=c$ is true in A respectively in C and therefore in D. Now we assume that y_1 with any two elements of X_B and y_2 with any two elements of X_B satisfy the Jacobi identity. We have to show:

(l=r):

$$[[[y_1,y_2],b],d]=[[[y_1,y_2],d],b]+[[y_1,y_2][b,d]]$$

Using the inductiv definition of $[y,e]$ for $y\in Y$ and $e\in X_B$ the right side can be written as:

(r):

$$[[[y_1,d],y_2],b]+[[y_1,[y_2,d]],b]+[[y_1,[b,d]],y_2]+[y_1,[y_2,[b,d]]]$$

Now we apply the definitions and the induction to the left side and obtain the following identities. We use that $[y_1,b],[y_2,b],[y_1,d],[y_2,d]\in B$

(l_1):

$$[[[y_1,b],y_2],d]+[[y_1,[y_2,b],d]=$$

(l_2):

$$[[[y_1,b],d],y_2]+[[y_1,b],[y_2,d]]+[[y_1,d],[y_2,b]]+[y_1,[[y_2,b],d]]=$$

(l_3):

$$[[[y_1,d],b],y_2]+[[[y_1,[b,d]],y_2]+[[y_1,[y_2,d]],b]+[y_1,[b,[y_2,d]]]+$$
$$[[[y_1,d],y_2],b]+[y_2,[[y_1,d],b]]]+[[y_1,[y_2,d]],b]+[y_1,[y_2,[b,d]]].$$

After cancelation in (l_3) we see that it equal to (r) as desired. The proof for the Major Case is finished. In fact we have constructed a free amalgam.

Reduction to $X_C=\{c\}$: If the strong amalgam exist for all A, B,and $C=\langle X_Bc\rangle$, then it exists for all A, B, and C.

We assume that the assertion is true for $X_C=\{c\}$. We show by induction on $c-i$ that the strong amalgam exists for all X_C with $\gamma_j=0$ for $j<i$. The case $c=i$ is clear, since we can assume that $\alpha_c=\gamma_c=0$, as discussed above.

We fix i and assume that the assertion is true for $i+1$. By a second induction on the size of γ_i we reduce the problem to the case $\gamma_i=1$. For induction step of this induction let $c=c_{\gamma_i-1}$ and C^- be the subalgebra of C generated by $X_BX_C\setminus\{c\}$. By the second induction there is a strong amalgam D^- of A and C^- over B. Now we have to amalgamate D^- and C over C^-. This is the case $X_C=\{c\}$ and we can apply the assumption in the claim.

Reduction to the Major Case: The Major Case implies the Reduction to $X_C = \{c\}$.

We can apply the same arguments to all situations A, B and $X_C = \{c\}$ and come to the Major Case. The assertion of the theorem follows. Note that all amalgams condtructed are free. □

By compactness and Lemma 2.8(1) we obtain:

Corollary 4.2. *The class of all graded Lie algebras over a given field K is closed under free amalgamation.*

Note that the class of finitely generated c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over a finite field is countable.

Corollary 4.3. *Let K be a finite field and $\mathcal{K}$ be the class of finitely generated generated c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over K. Then the following is true.*

(1) A countable $\mathcal{K}$-saturated structure M_0 exists.
(2) In M_0 the free amalgam of finitely generated substructures exists and is a stationary independence relation.
(3) $Aut(M_0)$ is universal for all $Aut(M)$, where M is an at most countable c-nilpotent graded Lie algebra over K.

Proof. We use Fact 2.3, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 3.4, and Corollary 3.5. All at most countable c-nilpotent graded Lie algbras over K can be embedded in M_0. □

Corollary 3.7 implies:

Corollary 4.4. *If K is a finite field, M_0 is the Fraïssé limit of all finitely generated c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{C}$ is a monster model of $Th(M_0)$, then the free amalgam defines a stationary independence relation in $\mathfrak{C}$. The theory has the tree property of the second kind.*

For the tree property of the second kind see [Bau13].

5. c-nilpotent graded assoziative algebras

Lemma 5.1. *c-nilpotent graded assoziative algebras do not have the amalgamation property.*

Proof. We use the language from graded Lie algebras, but for the multiplication of x and y we write xy. Let $c = 3$. We consider the free 3-nilpotent graded assoziative algebras F freely generated by $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, c$, F_A freely generated by $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5$, F_B freely genrated by $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5$, and F_C freely generated by $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, c$. To obtain A we factorize F_A by the ideal J_A generated by $a_0b_0 + a_1b_1$. C is obtained from F_C using the ideal generated by $b_0c + b_2b_4$ and $b_1c + b_3b_5$. The images of the b_i's generate in A and C a subalgebra isomorphic to F_B. we call it B. An amalgam would be isomorphic to F/J, where J is the ideal generated by J_A and J_C. But this contains a new relation in A:

$$(a_0b_0 + a_1b_1)c - a_1(b_1c + b_3b_5) - a_0(b_0c + b_2b_4) = -a_1b_3b_5 - a_0b_2b_4$$

a contradiction. □

6. c-nilpotent groups of exponent $p > c$

As in [Bau04] we consider the class c-nilpotent groups $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}^U$ of exponent $p > c$ with extra unary predicates $U_1, \ldots, U_c$ for a central Laszard series. That means we have

$$G = U_1(G) \supseteq \ldots \supseteq U_c(G)$$

and

$$\langle \bigcup_{l+k=n} [U_l(G), U_k(G)] \rangle \subseteq U_n \subseteq Z_{c+1-n}.$$

Without the extra predicates this class of groups is denoted by $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}$. It is well known that the amalgamation property fails for $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}$. In [Bau04] strong amalgamation for $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}^U$ is shown. A careful analysis of the proof shows that it is a free amalgam. The free amalgamation of c-nilpotent graded Lie algebras over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is used (see Theorem 4.1 for a correct proof). Therefore we get:

Theorem 6.1. *It holds:*

(1) $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}^U$ has (HEP), (JEP), and the free amalgamation property.
(2) The Fraïssé limit G_0^U of the finite groups in $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}^U$ exits.
(3) $Th(G_0^U)$ is $\aleph_0$ - categorical and allows the elimination of quantifiers.

Let G_0 be the reduct of G_0^U to the language of group theory. Since $\Gamma_n(G_0^U) = Z_{c+1-n}(G_0^U) = U_n(G_0^U)$, $U_n(G_0^U)$ is in G_0 0-definable. Furthermore every $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{c,p}$ becomes a structure in $\mathfrak{G}_{c,p}^U$, if we define $U_n(G) = \Gamma_n(G)$. Hence we obtain:

Corollary 6.2. *$Th(G_0)$ is $\aleph_0$ - categorical and universal for all at most countable $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{c,p}$.*

By Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 we obtain

Theorem 6.3.
(a) *In the monster model of $Th(G_0^U)$ the free amalgam exists and is a stationary independence relation.*
(b) *$Aut(G_0^U)$ is universal for all $Aut(N)$ where (N) is a substructure of G_0^U.*

The same arguments as for Corollary 6.2 imply

Corollary 6.4. *$Aut(G_0)$ is universal for all $Aut(G)$ for all at most countable groups $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{c,p}$.*

References

[Bau01] Andreas Baudisch: A Fraïssé limit of nilpotent groups of finite exponent, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), 513–519.
[Bau04] Andrea Baudisch: More Fraïssé limits of nilpotent groups of finite exponent, Bull. London Math. Soc. 36 (2004), 613–622.
[Bau13] Andreas Baudisch: Neostability properties of Fraïssé limits of 2-nilpotent groups of exponent p ¿ 2.
[Bil12] Dogan Bilge:Groupes d'automorphismes des structures homogènes, 2012.
[Jal07] Eric Jaligot: On stabilizers of some moieties of random tournament,Combinatorica 27 (2007), 129–133.
[Mue13] Isabel Müller: Dynamics of structures with stationary independence, preprint.
[TZ12a] Katrin Tent and Martin Ziegler: A course in model theory, Cambridge University Press 2012.
[TZ12b] Katrin Tent and Martin Ziegler: On the isometry group of Urysohn space, Jounal of the London Mathematical Society 87 (2012), 289–303.

[Usp90] V.V. Uspenskij: On the group of isometries of the Urysohn universal metric space, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, 1990.

Institut für Mathematik,Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, D-10099 Berlin, Germany
E-mail address: baudisch@mathematik.hu-berlin.de